

Reg. No. perl. mms6
KL/TV(N)/12/2006-2008

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസാറ്റ്

### PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII
oneno 52

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday തിരുവതത്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ 27th November 2007 2007 ຕາດມວຄາມສີ 27 6th Agrahayana 1929 1929 ໝາແກດກວານກາວ 6

No.

നന്ത്

PART I

## Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

### Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

113

G. O. (Rt.) No. 2774/2007/LBR.

Thirmeananthaparam, 12th September 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between (1) Smt. N. Kanakavathy Ammal, Proprietiess, Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara P. O., Idukki Pin-685-556, (2) Sri Karuppayya, Superintendent, Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara P. O., Idukki Pin-685-556 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri K. A. Mani, Secretary, Malanadu Plantation Employees Union (CITU), Puliyanmala, Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexage to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial Dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Gentral Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass he award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Smt. Leels and Smt. Jancy, Worker by the Management of M's Amaravathy Estate, Pampadumpara, Idukki, is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?"

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3138/2017/LBR.

Thirusananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sivarajan, Proprietor, Oorampalliyil Foundary Mundakkal West, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon District Motor and Mechanical Workers Union (C.I.T.U.), Kollam-13, in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri N. Siyadasan, Moulder by the management of Oorampallivil Foundary with effect from 4-3-2005 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.), No. 3139/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kameo, Athani, 2. The Chairman, Kameo, Athani and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The Secretary, Kameo Employees Federation, AlTUC, Athani-683 583, 2. The Secretary, Kameo Employees Union, GITU, Athani P. O., Aluva in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government bereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam, The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### AMMERURE

"Whether the 4 workers viz.

1. S/s T. A. Mohammed (E. No. 854),

2. T. Aravindan (E. No. 853), 3. M. K. Syed (E. No. 858), 4. N. Ramakrishnan (E. No. 850) are eligible to get the Grade Promotion from Grade I to Grade II after completing 4 years as per the settlement dated 11-4-1998?

2. If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3140/2007/LBR.

100

Thirupananthapuram, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estates Workers Union (A. I. T. U. C.), Munnar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

of Sri Saskamar, P. F. No. 5781 by the management of Letchmi Estate, Manaar is justifiable?" 2. "If not, what are the reliefs entitled to him?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3141/2007/LBR.

Thirmananthaparam, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Rubek Balloom Pvt. Limited, Rubber Park, Valayancharangara P. O., Iraparam, Erumathala P.O. 683 556 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Rejo Poulose, Kalambattukudy Veedu, Elampakappally P. O., Almury in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNIDURE

1. Whether the denial of employment of Sri Rejo Poulose by the management of Rubek Balloom Pvt. Limited is justifiable or not? 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 3152/2007/LBR.

Thermananthaburam, 24th October 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispace exists between The Managing Director, Rubek Balloons Pvt. Limited, Rubber Park, Valayanchi, angara P. O., Irapuram, Erumathala P.O.-683 556 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri V. H. Asharaf, Vendara Veedu, Valayanchir angara P.O.-683 556 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Sri V. H. Asharaf by the management of Rubek Balloon Pvt. Ltd. is justifiable or not?"

2. "If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

By order of the Governor,
Surv Earms,
Under Secretary to Government.